

RIVANS for TAM – UK Findings

Presented at RIVANS for TAM Industry Workshop –3rd November 2012, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Co-Investigator: Dr. Hedley J Smyth

Research Assistant: Illona C Kusuma

The Bartlett School of Construction and Project Management University College London

h.smyth@ucl.ac.uk

Illona.kusuma.10@ucl.ac.uk



Outline

- UK trends and respondent population
- Summary findings and comments by sections
- Progress summary / current stance
- Next steps



UK Trends

- Early signs of shifting toward soft management issues
- Reactive rather than proactive to market drivers at the project front-end
- Sustainable solutions framed on compliance and best-practice

Respondents Statistics

- Total invitations sent → 1093
 - Total respondents → 88
 - Usable → 42
 - Response rate → 8%
- Interviews
 - D&C
 - O&M



Section 1

Section 1– Agreement levels between sub-groups	D&C Consensus	O&M Consensus
Better Value / Synergies arise from sharing relevant information (e.g. building specs, as-built drawings, construction records, O & M (Operation & Maintenance) performance data, etc.) - between 'D & C' (Design & Construction) and 'O & M' teams	84%	87%
Better Value / Synergies arise from addressing Sustainability issues more effectively through above sharing of relevant information	70%	51%
Better Value / Synergies arise from similar Procurement protocols between 'D & C' and 'O & M'	55%	79%
Better Value / Synergies arise from better (integrated) 'life cycle optimization' options/ opportunities e.g. when Designers have more knowledge of O&M issues and Asset Managers have better understanding of design intent and material/ equipment choices	73%	86%
Better Value / Synergies arise from overlapping Supply Chain Networks delivering 'D&C' and 'O&M'	72%	89%
Better Value/ Synergies arise from arranging for some common/ linked resource pools and requirements (e.g. in material types, human resources) between 'D&C' & 'O&M'	72%	94%
Better Value / Synergies arise from expanded long term business opportunities	61%	57%
Better Value / Synergies arise from integrated team building (Human resource capacity improvement)	69%	71%
Better Value / Synergies arise from joint use of ICT tools (e.g. in BIM – Building Information Modeling)	45%	79%
Better Value / Synergies arise from integrated 'business continuity management' opportunities	73%	94%

Section 1– Agreement levels between sub-groups		D&C Consensus	O&M Consensus
Better Value / Synergies arise from sharing relevant information (e.g. building specs, as-built drawings, construction records, O & M (Operation & Maintenance) performance data, etc.) - between 'D & C' (Design & Construction) and 'O & M' teams		84%	87%
Better Value / Synergies arise from addressing Sustainab effectively through above sharing of relevant information	And the second s	70%	51%
Better Value / Synergies arise from similar Procurement C' and 'O & M'	Sustainability is b	ased on com	noliance
Better Value / Synergies arise from better (integrated) 'I options/ opportunities e.g. when Designers have more k issues and Asset Managers have better understanding or	to regulations		•
material/ equipment choices Better Value / Synergies arise from overlapping Supply C	hain Networks		
delivering 'D&C' and 'O&M'		72%	89%
Better Value/ Synergies arise from arranging for some common/ linked resource pools and requirements (e.g. in material types, human resources) between 'D&C' & 'O&M'		72%	94%
Better Value / Synergies arise from expanded long term	business opportunities	61%	57%
Better Value / Synergies arise from integrated team build capacity improvement)		on roducino	71%
Better Value / Synergies arise from joint use of ICT tools Information Modeling)	(e.g. in B Emphasis	on reducing	79%
Better Value / Synergies arise from integrated 'business management' opportunities	continuity	73%	94%



Section 1

Section 1– Agreement levels between sub-groups	D&C Consensus	O&M Consensus
Better Value / Synergies arise from sharing relevant information (e.g. building specs, as-built drawings, construction records, O & M (Operation & Maintenance) performance data, etc.) - between 'D & C' (Design & Construction) and 'O & M' teams	84%	87%
Better Value / Synergies arise from addressing Sustainability issues more effectively through above sharing of relevant information	70%	51%
Better Value / Synergies arise from similar Procurement protocols between 'D & C' and 'O & M'	55%	79%
Better Value / Synergies arise from better (integrated) 'life cycle optimization' options/ opportunities e.g. when Designers have more knowledge of O&M	Discontinuity i	
issues and Asset Managers have better understanding of design intent and material/ equipment choices	73%	86%
Better Value / Synergies arise from overlapping Supply Chain Networks delivering 'D&C' and 'O&M'	72%	89%
Better Value/ Synergies arise from arranging for some common/ linked resource pools and requirements (e.g. in material types, human resources) between 'D&C' & 'O&M'	72%	94%
Better Value / Synergies arise from expanded long term business opportunities	61%	57%
Better Value / Synergies arise from integrated team building (Human resource capacity improvement)	69%	71%
Better Value / Synergies arise from joint use of ICT tools (e.g. in BIM – Building Information Modeling)	45%	79%
Better Value / Synergies arise from integrated 'busines' management' opportunities BIM requires certain	degrees of t	rust 94%



Castley 2.1		Frequ	ency %	
Section 2.1	Functional	Relational	Transactional	Missing
Better Value / Synergies arise from sharing relevant information (e.g. building specs, as-built drawings, construction records, O & M (Operation & Maintenance) performance data, etc.) - between 'D & C' (Design & Construction) and 'O & M' teams	7.1	45.2	47.6	
Better Value / Synergies arise from addressing Sustainability issues more effectively through above sharing of relevant information	16.7	40.5	42.9	
Better Value / Synergies arise from similar Procurement protocols between 'D & C' and 'O & M'	28.6	35.7	35.7	
Better Value / Synergies arise from better (integrated) 'life cycle optimization' options/ opportunities e.g. when Designers have more knowledge of O&M issues and Asset Managers have better understanding of design intent and material/ equipment choices	23.8	33.3	38.1	4.8
Better Value / Synergies arise from overlapping Supply Chain Networks delivering 'D&C' and 'O&M'	16.7	54.8	28.6	-
Better Value/ Synergies arise from arranging for some common/ linked resource pools and requirements (e.g. in material types, human resources) between 'D&C' & 'O&M'	28.6	28.6	42.9	
Better Value / Synergies arise from expanded long term business opportunities	33.3	42.9	21.4	2.4
Better Value / Synergies arise from integrated team building (Human resource capacity improvement)	14.3	35.7	47.6	2.4
Better Value / Synergies arise from joint use of ICT tools (e.g. in BIM – Building Information Modeling)	21.4	21.4	57.1	•
Better Value / Synergies arise from integrated 'business continuity management' opportunities	23.8	40.5	33.3	2.4



Section 2.1		Frequ	ency %	
Section 2.1	Functional	Relational	Transactional	Missing
Better Value / Synergies arise from sharing relevant information (e.g. building specs, as-built drawings, construction records, O & M (Operation & Maintenance) performance data, etc.) - between 'D & C' (Design & Construction) and 'O & M' teams	7.1	45.2	47.6	-
Better Value / Synergies arise from addressing Sustainability issues more effectively through above sharing of relevant information	16.7	40.5	42.9	-
Better Value / Synergies arise from similar Procurement protocols between 'D & C' and 'O & M'	28.6	35.7	35.7	
Better Value / Synergies arise from better (integrated) 'life cycle optimization' options/ opportunities e.g. when Designers have more knowledge of O&M issues and Asset Managers have better understanding of design intent and material/ equipment choices	23.8	33.3	38.1	4.8
Better Value / Synergies arise from overlapping Supply Chain Networks delivering 'D&C' and 'O&M'	16.7	54.8	28.6	-
Better Value/ Synergies arise from arranging for some common/ linked resource pools and requirements (e.g. in material types, human resources) between 'D&C' & 'O&M'	28.6	28.6	42.9	-
Better Value / Synergies arise from expanded long term business opportunities	33.3	42.9	21.4	2.4
Better Value / Synergies arise from integrated team building (Human resource capacity improvement)	14.3	35.7	47.6	2.4
Better Value / Synergies arise from joint use of ICT tools (e.g. in BIM – Building Information Modeling)	21.4	21.4	57.1	-
Better Value / Synergies arise from integrated 'business continuity management' opportunities	23.8	40.5	33.3	2.4



- Alternated responses between relational and transactional integration – indicates early efforts in recognising value beyond the adversarial terms
- Importance of long-term relationship building is beginning to be recognised – although presumably based on individual experience and subsequent taken for granted thinking rather than imposed from above
- BIM seen as a tool to help breaking down vfm



Section 2.2 Importance of common goals for better value

Section 2.2	D&C Consensus	O&M Consensus
Common project goals such as cost, quality, time, safety	69%	89%
Effective and efficient information sharing	66%	89%
Lifecycle oriented project drivers, including overall sustainability concerns	59%	89%
Lifecycle oriented project outcomes, including life cycle benefit-cost profiles	61%	89%
Efficient resource utilization & management	60%	75%
Expanded business opportunities	56%	86%
Long-term network building	60%	86%
Relationship building and management	65%	86%
Dispute minimization, management & resolution	71%	87%
Organisational capacity building	57%	75%
Shared corporate social responsibility	35%	71%



Section 2.2 Importance of common goals for better value

Section 2.2	D&C Consensus	O&M Consensus
Common project goals such as cost, quality, time, safety	69%	89%
Effective and efficient information sharing	66%	89%
Lifecycle oriented project drivers, including overall sustainability concerns	59%	89%
Lifecycle oriented project outcomes, including life cycle benefit-cost profiles	61%	89%
Efficient resource utilization & management	60%	75%
Expanded business opportunities	56%	86%
Long-term network building	60%	86%
Relationship building and management	65%	86%
Dispute minimization, management & resolution	71%	87%
Organisational capacity building	57%	75%
Shared corporate social responsibility	35%	71%



	Frequency %			
Section 2.1	Functional	Relational	Transactional	Missing
Better Value / Synergies arise from sharing relevant information (e.g. building specs, as-built drawings, construction records, O & M (Operation & Maintenance) performance data, etc.) - between 'D & C' (Design & Construction) and 'O & M' teams	7.1	45.2	47.6	
Better Value / Synergies arise from addressing Sustainability issues more effectively through above sharing of relevant information	16.7	40.5	42.9	
Better Value / Synergies arise from similar Procurement protocols between 'D & C' and 'O & M'	28.6	35.7	35.7	
Better Value / Synergies arise from better (integrated) 'life cycle optimization' options/ opportunities e.g. when Designers have more knowledge of O&M issues and Asset Managers have better understanding of design intent and material/ equipment choices	23.8	33.3	38.1	4.8
Better Value / Synergies arise from overlapping Supply Chain Networks delivering 'D&C' and 'O&M'	16.7	54.8	28.6	<u> </u>
Better Value/ Synergies arise from arranging for some common/ linked resource pools and requirements (e.g. in material types, human resources) between 'D&C' & 'O&M'	28.6	28.6	42.9	
Better Value / Synergies arise from expanded long term business opportunities	33.3	42.9	21.4	2.4
Better Value / Synergies arise from integrated team building (Human resource capacity improvement)	14.3	35.7	47.6	2.4
Better Value / Synergies arise from joint use of ICT tools (e.g. in BIM – Building Information Modeling)	21.4	21.4	57.1	÷
Better Value / Synergies arise from integrated 'business continuity management' opportunities	23.8	40.5	33.3	2.4



Section 2.2

Section 2.2	D&C Consensus	O&M Consensus
Common project goals such as cost, quality, time, safety	69%	89%
Effective and efficient information sharing	66%	89%
Lifecycle oriented project drivers, including overall sustainability concerns	59%	89%
Lifecycle oriented project outcomes, including life cycle benefit-cost profiles	61%	89%
Efficient resource utilization & management	60%	75%
Expanded business opr • Represents a shift towards soft	56%	86%
Long-term network bu management focus	60%	86%
Relationship building a • Awareness is present individually but	65%	86%
Dispute minimization, limited by conventional routines and	71%	87%
Organisational capacity prescriptions	57%	75%
Shared corporate social responsibility	35%	71%



Section 3.1 Importance of Key Stakeholders for better value in D&C SC

Section 3.1	D&C Consensus	O&M Consensus
Clients	41%	46%
Main Contractors	66%	75%
Sub-Contractors	58%	69%
Designers and Principal Consultants	63%	75%
Other (Specialist / Sub-) Consultants	64%	71%
Suppliers	58%	46%
Users	30%	29%
General Public	47%	58%
Relevant non-governmental organisations	70%	79%
Relevant Statutory bodies	42%	51%
Other relevant Government organisations	55%	29%
Project financiers	38%	71%



Section 3.1 Importance of Key Stakeholders for better value in D&C SC

Section 3.1		D&C Consensus	O&M Consensus
Clients	Emphasis on driving down CapEx and OpEx –	41%	46%
Main Contrac	"which one is the cheapest"	66%	75%
Sub-Contract	ors	58%	69%
Designers and	Principal Consultants	63%	75%
Other (Specia	list / Sub-) Consultants	64%	71%
Suppliers		58%	46%
Users		30%	29%
General Publi	c	47%	58%
Relevant non-	-governmental organisations	70%	79%
Relevant Stat	utory bodies	42%	51%
Other relevan	t Government organisations	55%	29%
Project finance	ciers	38%	71%



Section 3.1 Importance of Key Stakeholders for better value in D&C SC

Section 3.1	D&C Consensus	O&M Consensus
Clients	41%	46%
Main Contractors	66%	75%
Sub-Contractors	58%	69%
Designers and Principal Consultants	63%	75%
Other (Specialist / Sub-) Consultants	64%	71%
Suppliers	58%	46%
Users	30%	29%
General Public	47%	58%
Relevant nor Agree it's not important!	70%	79%
Relevant Statutory bodies	42%	51%
Other relevant Government organisations	55%	29%
Project financiers	38%	71%



Section 3.2 Importance of Key Stakeholders for better value in O&M SC

Section 3.2	D&C Consensus	O&M Consensus	
Clients	53%	88%	
Main Contractors	69%	71%	
Sub-Contractors	63%	76%	
Designers and Principal Consultants	57%	60%	
Other (Specialist / Sub-) Consultants	65%	76%	
Suppliers	59%	43%	
Users	38%	38%	
General Public	26%	69%	
Relevant non-governmental organisations	62%	76%	
Relevant Statutory bodies	50%	60%	
Other relevant Government organisations	65%	71%	
Project financiers	55%	88%	



Section 3.2 Importance of Key Stakeholders for better value in O&M SC

Section 3.2 Clients Main Contractors		D&C Consensus	O&M Consensus 88% 71%
		53% 69%	
Designers and Principal Consultants		57%	60%
Other (Specialist / Sub-) Consultants		65%	76%
Suppliers	Very disparate responses – Arguably from D&C's	59%	43%
Users	focus on driving down CapEx and OpEx and getting	38%	38%
General Publi	the job done	26%	69%
Relevant non-governmental organisations		62%	76%
Relevant Statutory bodies		50%	60%
Other relevant Government organisations		65%	71%
Project financiers		55%	88%



Section 3.2 Importance of Key Stakeholders for better value in O&M SC

Section 3.2				C nsensus	O&M Consensus
Clients				53%	88%
Main Contractors				69%	71%
Sub-Contractors	— Minimal da	to		63%	76%
Designers and Principal Consultants	available	la		57%	60%
Other (Specialist / Sub-) Consultants	avallable			65%	76%
Suppliers				59%	43%
Users				38%	38%
General Public				26%	69%
Relevant non-governmental organisation	ns		19	62%	76%
Relevant Statutory bodies		Agree it's r	not	50%	60%
Other relevant Government organisation	ns	important		65%	71%
Project financiers		·		55%	88%



UK Current Stance

- Top management supports:
 - To increase collective awareness in enhancing a "hard"
 with a complementary "soft" integration approach
 - For in-house construction-design-maintenance coordinator to support handover
 - For internal integrated systems in capturing, updating and using lessons learned and feedback loops



Next Steps

- Planned interview sessions with O&M practitioners
- Wrapping up and integrating collected quantitative and qualitative data
- Summary for industry reports